

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/529,996	Applicant(s) LANGWALD, OLAF	
	Examiner Richard M. Lorence	Art Unit 3681	

All Participants:

(1) Richard M. Lorence.

(2) Michael J. Bujold.

Status of Application: 80

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2007

Time: 2:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 12, 13, and 16-24

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed that claim 12 should be cancelled inasmuch as it does not further limit claim 20, and that claims 13 and 16-18 should be cancelled since they are duplicates or substantial duplicates of claims 21-24, respectively. Accordingly, these claims have been cancelled by way of the accompanying Examiner's Amendment..